

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/736,590 | 12/17/2003 | Sheng-Hsiung Lin | 3074/132 | 5803 |
| 22429 7590 01/05/2007 LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | · . | NGUYEN, JENNIFER T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| · | , | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 01/05/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/736,590 | LIN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jennifer T. Nguyen | 2629 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De | ecember 2003 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | ,— | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are objected to. | <u></u> | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/736,590

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagiwa et al. (Patent No.: US 6,609,418) in view of Hori et al. (US Patent No.: 5,072,209).

Regarding claim 1, Yamagiwa teaches a helmet having detecting tire status capability, Comprising:

- a body with an opening (102, fig. 6);
- a face guard connected pivotally to the body to cover the opening (fig. 7);
- a controller (82, fig. 4) in the body linked to at least one tire status detector (71) in at least one wheel wherein the controller receives at least one tire status signal from the at least one tire status detector (col. 7, line 45 to col. 8, line 9).

Yamagiwa differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach "a projector mounted ... supply power".

Hori teaches a projector (5, figs. 3 and 4) mounted in the body and faced to the opening, wherein the projector is connected to the controller; and

a power circuit (7 and 8) is connected to the controller and the projector to supply power (col. 3, lines 37-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the projector and power circuit as taught by Hori

Art Unit: 2629

in the system of Yamagiwa in order to project data on the helmet without the cord connection between the vehicle and the helmet.

Regarding claim 2, Yamagiwa teaches a microprocessor (not shown) connected to an external memory (ROM); an RF receiver (78) received the tire status signal from the at least one tire status detector (71) and connected to the microprocessor, wherein the RF receiver outputs the tire status signal to the microprocessor; an alarm circuit (84) connected to the microprocessor; and a driver (not shown in display means 83) connected between the microprocessor and the projector (col. 6, lines 3-43).

Regarding claims 3 and 13-15, the combination of Yamagiwa and Hori teaches an enabling switch mounted in the body and connected to the microprocessor to detect whether a rider worn the helmet (col. 4, lines 13-25 of Hori).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the combination of Yamagiwa and Hori teaches a face guard sensor switch mounted on the opening and connected to the microprocessor to detect whether the face guard covers completely (col. 3, lines 13-24 of Hori).

Regarding claims 6-8, the combination of Yamagiwa and Hori teaches a power detecting unit connected between the microprocessor and the power circuit; wherein the power detecting unit is an analog to digital converter (ADC)/comparator (col. 4, lines 6-13, lines 28-36).

Regarding claim 9, Yamagiwa teaches a receiving tire status signal means and a determining abnormal tire status signal means (col. 6, lines 24-30).

3. Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to pertinent applicant's disclosure: Patent. No. US 6,529,126 and 5,856,811.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 1/2/07

RICHARD/HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600